



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/825,366	04/04/2001	Ronald Z. Szoc	06133.0003.NPUS01	1399	
22930 7	7590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER		
	IMON ARNOLD & WHI	PATEL, JAGDISH			
	ETING DEPARTMENT EW PARK DR, SUITE 200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	RCH, VA 22042-2924	3624			
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2009	ς.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/825,36	6	SZOC ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		JAGDISH	PATEL	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply were the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply were ply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve nication. days, a reply within the statu utory period will apply and wil ill, by statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on <i>040401</i> .						
·	•	b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	· <u> </u>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) lint to the drawing(s) be the correction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	* *			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	O 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P rr No(s)/Mail Date			atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/825,366

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-16 and 25 are drawn to a method and an apparatus for providing a foreign exchange rate quote to a client.
 - II. Claims 16-20 and 26 are drawn to a method and an apparatus for generating a draft conforming to requirements of any of a plurality of financial centers.
 - III. Claims 21-24 and 27 are drawn to a method and an apparatus for scheduling international transactions for a client.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions I-III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different invention I-III are not claimed as capable of use together. For example, invention I is usable for providing modified rate quote based on a current rate

Art Unit: 3624

and accessed secondary information whereas invention II is usable for generating a draft based on draft requirements determined form a sample draft and invention III is usable for scheduling execution of a plurality of international transactions to be conducted in a plurality of currencies.

Therefore, the claimed inventions I-III meet all aforementioned requirements for being unrelated and are deemed distinct from each other.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and different search is required for each of the aforementioned group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention I, election of one of which is required if the application elects this invention for prosecution.

Species A: claims 1, 2-4, 13-15 and 25 (modified rate quote based on current rate and client-specific information)

Application/Control Number: 09/825,366

Art Unit: 3624

Species B: claims <u>1</u>, <u>5-7</u>, <u>13-15</u> and <u>25</u> (modified rate quote based on rate-specific information)

Species C: claims 1, 8-11, 13-15 and 25 (modified rate quote based on transaction-specific information)

Species D: claims 1, 12, 13-15 and 25 (modified rate quote based on at least two of client-specific, rate-specific and client-transaction-specific information)

(Note: claims reciting distinct features of species are indicated in bold)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Att. Derek J. JARDIEU (Reg. 44483) on 3/16/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (703)308-7837. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703)308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,366 Page 7

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

3/29/05